# **Poster Presntation - Assignment Feedback**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Group Number: 4 | Strengths of the Report | Areas that Need Improving |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| First Name | Last Name | ID |
| Shreya | Tyagi | 4576854 |
| Ayaan | Patel | 4578457 |
| Siya | Mailk | 7856457 |
| Rushvi | Raval | 3457474 |
| Nakul | Perti | 5685685 |
| Arjun | Sharma | 4363256 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Part A: Automation Conceptual Design** |
| **Topic 1. Product Design (Total: 10 Marks)** |
| The initial dynamic calculations seem reasonable, however adding in further diagrams to explain the equations would be useful to help fully understand the context of your calculations. Most components chosen seem reasonable for your design but perhaps the motor is working too close to It’s maximum capacity. It’s good to see the couplings highlighted, however perhaps some additional annotation/highlighting would’ve been useful to better understand your design. |
| It would have been nice to see some attention given to the structural calculations within your design (eg. how it can be held in place during operation). The exploded view seems to be missing a few components of an entire design, it should show every component present in your design. |
| **Part B: Research-Focused Investigation** |
| **Topic 5. Literature Review (Total: 10 marks)** |
| The literature review doesn’t seem to be very relevant to the research topic highlighted and should be redone with better focus around your topic. Reasonable criticism has been given for the literature review however more detail should be included to give a thorough evaluation of the literature. Some theories have been identified throughout the literature however it seems that some of them aren’t particularly relevant to your research and may need to be reviewed further. It would’ve been also useful to see the key theories developed further to better fit to your research topic. Custom Feedback |